

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
MONDAY, JULY 9, 2012
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S048440**PEOPLE v. LIGHTSEY
(CHRISTOPHER CHARLES)**

Opinion filed: Judgment reversed

We reverse the judgment and remand to the trial court with directions to determine whether holding a retrospective competency hearing is still feasible. If it is not, the trial court must set the case for a new trial. Prior to that trial, the trial court must determine defendant's present competence to stand trial.

If the trial court initially finds a retrospective competency hearing is feasible, it will conduct appropriate competency proceedings, in accordance with this opinion. If after such proceedings the court finds that the hearing was not procedurally adequate and substantively acceptable, or that defendant proved he was incompetent, the trial court must set the case for a new trial. If it finds the hearing was adequate and defendant failed to prove he was incompetent, the trial court will reinstate the judgment.

Majority Opinion by Werdegarr, J.

-- joined by Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Kennard, Baxter, Chin, Corrigan, and Liu, JJ.

S185961

C063661 Third Appellate District

**PEOPLE v. STANLEY
(LEROY)**

Opinion filed: Judgment affirmed in full

The judgment of the Court of Appeal is affirmed.

Majority Opinion by Kennard, J.

-- joined by Cantil-Sakauye, C. J., Baxter, Werdegarr, Chin, Corrigan, and Liu, JJ.

S203407

D056440 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

**SCIBORSKI (ANNIE) v.
PACIFIC BELL DIRECTORY**

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer to petition for review is extended to July 23, 2012.

S176886

C055923 Third Appellate District

**PEOPLE v. DUNGO
(REYNALDO SANTOS)**

Order filed

The application of amicus curiae California DUI Lawyers Association and California Attorneys

for Criminal Justice for permission to file a supplemental brief in support of appellant is hereby granted.

**BAR MISC. 4186 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE
OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA
FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS (MOTION NO. 1,036)**

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:
(SEE ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR THE LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED.)